

# DIRECTLY ADMINISTERED ANTIRETROVIRAL THERAPY (DAART) IN A METHADONE CLINIC

## Good Evidence – Medication Adherence

### INTERVENTION DESCRIPTION

#### Target Population

- HIV-positive injection drug users in treatment who are antiretroviral treatment-experienced or –naïve

#### Goals of Intervention

- Improve adherence to antiretroviral therapy
- Improve virologic and immunologic responses to antiretroviral therapy (HIV viral load and CD4 cell count)

#### Brief Description

*DAART in a Methadone Clinic* is an individual-level intervention. A nurse or medical assistant observes patients taking their HIV medications in a private room that is distinct from the methadone-dispensing window each morning the patients attend the methadone clinic. Evening doses and doses to be taken on methadone take-home days are prepackaged and given to patients for self-administration. An emergency 3-day packet of medications is provided in case of a missed methadone visit. The treatment goal is to provide DAART for at least 1 year, but if patients wish, they can continue DAART for longer.

#### Theoretical Basis

- None specified

#### Intervention Duration

- Every morning of methadone clinic visit, over at least one year

#### Intervention Setting

- Methadone clinic

#### Deliverer

- Nurse or medical assistant

#### Delivery Methods

- Directly observed medication administration

### INTERVENTION PACKAGE INFORMATION

**An intervention package is not available at this time.** Please contact **Gregory M. Lucas**, 1830 E. Monument St., Room 435A, Baltimore, MD 21287.

**Email:** [glucas@jhmi.edu](mailto:glucas@jhmi.edu) for details on intervention materials.

## EVALUATION STUDY AND RESULTS

The original evaluation was conducted in Baltimore, MD between 2001 and 2003.

### Key Intervention Effects

- Reduced viral load
- Achieved undetectable viral load

### Study Sample

The baseline study sample of 891 men and women is characterized by the following:

- 79% *black or African American*
- 65% *male, 35% female*
- *Median age of 43 years, range: 38-49*
- 27% *treatment-naïve*
- *Median viral load = 100,000, range: 20,000-250,000*
- 100% *participants with detectable viral load (>500 copies/mL)*

### Recruitment Settings

Methadone clinic and HIV clinic

### Eligibility Criteria

DAART intervention participants were HIV infected men and women  $\geq 18$  years of age who had a regular HIV treatment provider, had received methadone therapy for  $>30$  days with no plans to discontinue, were starting a first or subsequent HAART regimen in which doses were not administered more frequently than twice daily, had a detectable HIV-1 RNA viral load ( $>500$  copies/mL) at baseline, and did not have known triple-class antiretroviral drug resistance (as determined from a prior resistance test performed in clinical practice). All comparison participants were HIV infected men and women  $\geq 18$  years of age who were starting a first or subsequent HAART regimen on or after January 1, 2001, had a detectable HIV-1 RNA viral load ( $>500$  copies/mL) at baseline, and did not have known triple-class antiretroviral drug resistance (using the same genotypic criteria as the DAART intervention participants).

### Assignment Method

Participants ( $N = 891$ ) were from 1 of 2 groups: DAART Intervention (3 clinics;  $n = 82$  participants) or a non-concurrent comparison (1 clinic;  $n = 809$  participants). Participants in the non-concurrent comparison were divided into 3 groups based on participant characteristics: IDU-methadone group [ $n = 75$ ], IDU-non-methadone group [ $n = 244$ ], and non-IDU group [ $n = 490$ ].

### Comparison Group

The IDU-methadone comparison group received methadone therapy, HAART, and usual clinical care. The IDU-non-methadone and non-IDU comparison groups received HAART and usual clinical care.

### Relevant Outcomes Measured and Follow-up Time

- Viral load was measured at 6 and 12 months post-initiation of intervention and was assessed as log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL and as undetectable ( $<400$  copies/mL).

## Participant Retention

- DAART Intervention
  - 94% retained at 6 months post-initiation of intervention\*
  - 74% retained at 12 months post-initiation of intervention\*
- IDU-Methadone Comparison
  - 97% retained at 6 months post-initiation of intervention\*
  - 83% retained at 12 months post-initiation of intervention\*
- IDU-non-Methadone Comparison
  - 97% retained at 6 months post-initiation of intervention\*
  - 86% retained at 12 months post-initiation of intervention\*
- Non-IDU Comparison
  - 94% retained at 6 months post-initiation of intervention\*
  - 82% retained at 12 months post-initiation of intervention\*

## Significant Findings

- The decrease from baseline in median log<sub>10</sub> viral load level at 6 months post-initiation of intervention was significantly greater among the DAART intervention participants than the IDU-methadone comparison participants (2.5 vs. 1.3 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL,  $p = .001$ ; missing data imputed).
- The proportion of participants achieving an undetectable viral load (<400 copies/mL) was significantly higher in the DAART intervention arm than IDU-methadone comparison arm at 6 months post-initiation of intervention (74% vs. 41%,  $p < .001$ , missing data imputed; 78% vs. 52%,  $p = .002$ , without imputation).

## Considerations

- This study did not meet the best-evidence criteria due to a quasi-prospective study design, non-concurrent comparison, non-randomized allocation with moderate bias, no adjustment for cluster allocation (i.e., clinic), and no measurement of medication adherence behaviors.
- Two significant findings reported in the publication did not meet all the efficacy criteria because the attrition plus missing data for the IDU-methadone comparison arm at the 12-month assessment were 47%, which exceeds the <40% requirement.
  - At 12 months, the percentage of participants achieving an undetectable viral load (<400 copies/mL) was significantly higher in the DAART intervention arm than the IDU-methadone comparison arm (56% vs. 32%,  $p = .009$ ; missing data imputed)
  - At both 6 and 12 months, the DAART participants were significantly more likely to achieve viral suppression (<400 copies/mL) than the IDU-methadone comparison participants (OR = 0.3, 95% CI = 0.2 to 0.6;  $p < .05$ ; without imputation).
- The DAART Intervention participants had a significantly greater median increase in CD4 cell count at 12 months than IDU-methadone comparison participants (74 vs. 21 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>,  $p = .04$ ; missing data imputed). No significant effect on CD4 cell count at the 6-month assessment.
- The DAART Intervention participants has a significantly greater median decrease in viral load at 6 months than the other two comparison arms (IDU-non-methadone arm,  $p = .001$ ; non-IDU arm,  $p = .05$ ).
- At baseline, a significantly larger percentage of the IDU-methadone participants took NNRTI than the DAART intervention participants (31% vs. 14%,  $p < .05$ ).

\*Information obtained from author

## REFERENCES AND CONTACT INFORMATION

Lucas, G. M., Mullen, B. A., Weidle, P. J., Hader, S., McCaul, M. E., & Moore, R. D. (2006). [Directly administered antiretroviral therapy in methadone clinics is associated with improved HIV treatment outcomes among concurrent comparison groups](#). *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 42, 1628-1635.

**Researcher:** Gregory M. Lucas, MD, PhD

1830 E. Monument St.

Room 435A

Baltimore, MD 21287

**Email:** [glucas@jhmi.edu](mailto:glucas@jhmi.edu)

